

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF OCTOBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY**

-

**WRIT PETITION NO : 17692 of 2004**

Between:

K.Mahendarnath, S/o K.Viswanath,  
R/o H.No.11-14-431, Sirinagar Colony,

Road No.3, L.B.Nagar, Ranga Reddy District

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

- 1 Abdul Rahoof, S/o Abdul Gafoor,  
R/o H.No.4-7-165/A, Subhash Nagar, Vikarabad, Ranga Reddy District
- 2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Vikarabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District
- 3 The Collector & Magistrate, Ranga Reddy District
- 4 The Superintendent of Police, Ranga Reddy District
- 5 Deputy Superintendent of Police, Vikarabad Zone, Vikarabad, Ranga reddy District
- 6 The Station House Officer, Vikarabad Police Station, Ranga Reddy District
- 7 The Commissioner of Municipal Corporation, Vikarabad Municipal Corporation, Vikarabad, Ranga Reddy District

**.....RESPONDENT(S)**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondent No.2 and 3 to incorporate the petitioner names in the Revenue records by causing proper entries of mutation to the effect that the petitioner land in Sy.No.165 (Old), New 19, admeasuring 8 guntas situated at Vikarabad, Ranga Reddy District, by directing the respondent No.4 to 6 to protect the land from the land grabbers and encroachers and respondent No.7 may be directed to issue the sanctioned layout plan.

**Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.CHAYANAM RAVINDRANATH**

**Counsel for the respondent No.1: ---**

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3: GP FOR REVENUE**

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos.4 to 6:GP FOR HOME**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.7:---**

**The Court at the stage of admission made the following ORDER:**

This writ petition is misconceived. By mere impleading the official respondents, the petitioner cannot claim an injunction against the first respondent alleging that the first respondent is trying to dispossess him from the land in question.

The proper remedy, if any, for the petitioner to safeguard his interest is to approach the Civil Court and seek necessary relief. The other official respondents are under no obligation to maintain the possession of the petitioner unless a complaint is made discloses an offence to the concerned police. If any complaint made discloses an offence to the police, they are under an obligation to register the complaint.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

1<sup>st</sup> OCTOBER, 2004.

kvni

To

- 1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Vikarabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District
- 2 The Collector & Magistrate, Ranga Reddy District
- 3 The Superintendent of Police, Ranga Reddy District
- 4 The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Vikarabad Zone, Vikarabad, Ranga

Reddy District

5 The Station House Officer, Vikarabad Police Station, Ranga Reddy District

6 The Commissioner of Municipal Corporation, Vikarabad Municipal Corporation,  
Vikarabad, Ranga Reddy District

7 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Revenue, A.P.High Court Buildings,  
Hyderabad (OUT)

8 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Home, A.P.High Court Buildings,  
Hyderabad (OUT).

9 Two CD copies.